

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,569	12/10/2001		Kazuo Iwai	1422-0508P	3472
2292	7590	05/22/2003			
		KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				OLSZEWSKI, JOAN M	
	•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3643	
				DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/006,569	IWAI, KAZUO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joan M. Olszewski	3643	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Exan	nion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee had fee und (2) as	xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ve been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(2	a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(t	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(C	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6.⊠	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 11-23.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10.	Other:
	PETER N. PUON SUPERVISER PALENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600
	OLA - A TERMINAMI PENTER 2000



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The declaration has not been entered since it was not in response to issues raised for the first time in the Final rejection and as such could have been filed earlier. Further, Applicant's arguments have been reviewed but not considered persuasive. As set forth in the Final rejection the Nishimoto et al. '964 reference is directed to the use of hinokitol solution for disinfecting cutting boards and knives which would be used for processing food. The solution would have to have the same properties as solutions to disinfect meat as far as not contaminating the taste of the meat or creating a safety issue since in cleaning the utensils there would be a residual amount of the solution remaining. As such to use this in place of the disinfecting solution in Kurschner, since this is the substituting of one well known disinfectant for another, with the nature of the problem being solved the same "disinfecting".